CORRES CONTROL INCOMING LTH NO

2358 RF 94 states Government

Department of Energy

morandum

SEP 16 Rocky Flats Field Office

ACTION STIGER

DUE DATE

DIST	TR
BURLINGAME, A H	
BUSBY, W S	X
CARNIVAL, G J	
CORDOVA, R C	\mathbf{I}^{-}
DAVIS, J G	
FERRERA, DW	
FRAY, R E	1

HANNI, B J HEALY, T ' HEDAHL, T G HILBIG, J G

JACKSON, DT KELL, RE KUESTER, A W

MARX, G E McDONALD, M M MCKENNA, F.G. MORGAN, R V

SANDLIN, N.B SATTERWHITE, D.G. SCHUBERT, A L

SETLOCK, G.H. STIGER, S.G. TOBIN, P.M. VOORHEIS, G.M.

MADEL

GEIS, J A GLOVER, W S GOLAN, P M

HUTCHINS, N M

PIZZUTO, V M POTTER, G L.

SCHWARTZ, J K

WILSON, J M

MC LAUGHLIN

HOLLOWELL

CORRES CONTRO XX

Reviewed for Addressee Corres Control RFP

Ref Lt #

DOE ORDER # 540011

SEP 1 6 1994

ROCKY FLATS PLANT CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL

ER SRG 09668

Evaluation of the Potential for Dense Non Aqueous Phase Liquids Migration During Operable Unit 2 Subsurface Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action

Sue Stiger Director Environmental Restoration Management EG&G Rocky Flats Inc

A Rampertaap EM-453 HQ M Silverman OOM RFFO

W Busby ER RFFO

Te d'ame

L Smith OOM RFFO

R Madel EG&G J McLaughlin EG&G

We continue to be concerned about the potential impact to ground water during the operation of the Six Phase Heating project as part of the Operable Unit 2 (OU2 903 Pad Mound and East Trenches) Subsurface Interim Measure/Interim Remedial Action. Since heating will only occur in the vadose zone there is the potential for migration of the Dense Non Aqueous Phase Liquids (DNAPL) to the saturated zone, further impacting ground water quality

As discussed with the OU2 Closure Team, we wish you to perform a peer review of the proposed Six Phase Heating testing The Environmental Institute at the Colorado School of Mines would be a preferred approach to bring a panel of experts together to assess the potential of DNAPL migration and/or whether or not it is a significant concern. We would like to see this happen in the near future preferably by the end of October 1994

In addition we would like you to perform a cost analysis on the proposed Six Phase Heating project The cost analysis should compare the cost of excavation and disposal (1 e shipment to ENVIROCARE) of material in Individual Hazardous Substance Sites 110 versus the entire project cost of performing the Six Phase Heating operation. Also include in the cost analysis pursuing a Technical Impracticability Waiver (OSWER Directive 9234 2 25) for the ground water at this site. The cost analysis is requested by October 7 1994

If you have any questions please contact Scott Grace at extension 7199

Jessie Roberson

Acting Assistant Manager for **Environmental Restoration**

DOCUMENT CLASSIFICATION REVIEW WAIVER PER CLASSIFICATION OFFICE

ADMIN RECORD

RF-46522 (R 6/94)

A 9U0_-001085